## Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 173719

17

**ORIGIN NEA-10** 

INFO OCT-01 AF-06 EUR-12 IO-10 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00

PM-03 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-02 PRS-01

SP-02 SS-15 USIA-15 SAJ-01 SAM-01 OMB-01 ACDA-10

SAB-01 AID-05 /113 R

DRAFTED BY NEA/P:MHVAN ORDER APPROVED BY NEA/P:MHVAN ORDER S/PRS - MR. FUNSETH (INFO) H - MR. FLATEN (INFO) EUR/P - MR. JERABEK IO/P - MR. BLACHLY NEA/ARN - MR. CAROLAN

----- 043986

R 232055Z JUL 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

AF/P - MRS. RUSSELL

TO AMEMBASSY AMMAN

AMEMBASSY BEIRUT

AMEMBASSY CAIRO

AMEMBASSY DAMASCUS

USMISSION GENEVA

AMEMBASSY KUWAIT

AMEMBASSY JIDDA

AMCONSUL DHAHRAN

AMCONSUL JERUSALEM

AMEMBASSY KHARTOUM

AMEMBASSY MANAMA

AMEMBASSY TUNIS

AMEMBASSY TEL AVIV

AMEMBASSY TEHRAN

AMEMBASSY TRIPOLI

AMEMBASSY RABAT AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 173719

AMEMBASSY ROME
USMISSION USUN NEW YORK
USMISSION NATO
AMEMBASSY SANA

USINT BAGHDAD
AMEMBASSY DOHA
AMEMBASSY ABU DHABI
AMEMBASSY ALGIERS
USCINCEUR

**UNCLAS STATE 173719** 

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PFOR

SUBJECT: DEPARTMENT PRESS BRIEFING

GENEVA FOR MEPC DEL CINCEUR FOR POLAD

FOR YOUR INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE FOLLOWING ARE EXCERPTS FROM DEPARTMENT SPOKESMAN'S PRESS BRIEFING JULY 23:

Q. WHAT'S YOUR REACTION TO PRESIDENT SADAT'S ANNOUNCEMENT THAT THE UN PEACE FORCE CAN STAY LONGER FOR THREE MONTHS -- THE MANDATE HAS BEEN RENEWED FOR THREE MONTHS.

A. I HAVE SEEN A COUPLE OF TICKERS ON THIS JUST BEFORE I CAME DOWN. I HAVEN'T GOT IT CONFIRMED. THE ACTION ON THIS, OBVIOUSLY, WILL BE UP IN NEW YORK IN THE SECURITY COUNCIL. AND WE'VE EXPRESSED DURING THE PAST WEEK OUR HOPE THAT THIS PROBLEM WOULD BE RESOLVED SO THAT THE UNITED NATIONS FORCE COULD CONTINUE TO REMAIN IN THE SINAL BUT I'VE JUST SEEN THE TICKERS AND THAT'S ALL, AND I CAN'T CONFIRM ANYTHING YET. WE'RE VERY HOPEFUL, AS I'VE BEEN SAYING, THAT IT WILL BE RESOLVED.

Q. WAS IT THE PRESIDENT'S INITIATIVE, SETTING UP THE

MEETING WITH AMBASSADOR DINITZ THIS MORNING? UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 173719

A. NO. AS I SAID TO YOU YESTERDAY, THE SECRETARY WAS WAITING FOR MORE MATERIAL FROM AMBASSADOR EILTS, AND HE EXPECTED OR HOPED TO BE MEETING WITH AMBASSADOR DINITZ, PROBABLY WITHIN THE NEXT 24 HOURS. AMBASSADOR DINITZ MET WITH THE SECRETARY AT THE WHITE HOUSE AT 11:15. I CHECKED AT ABOUT 12:35-12:40 AND THE MEETING WAS STILL GOING ON IN SECRETARY KISSINGER'S OFFICE OVER THERE.

Q. IT WAS NOT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT AMBASSADOR DINITZ WAS ALSO MEETING WITH PRESIDENT FORD?

A. THAT WAS NOT MY UNDERSTANDING. I WOULDN'T RULE IT OUT. MAYBE WHILE HE'S OVER THERE HE MAY SEE THE PRESIDENT. I

JUST DON'T KNOW. BUT THE MEETING WAS SCHEDULED WITH DR. KISSINGER AT THE WHITE HOUSE. AND THE SECRETARY'S MEETING WITH THE PRESIDENT THIS MORNING -- AS WE ALL KNOW, IT'S USUALLY AROUND 9:15; -- BUT IT WAS HELD LATER BECAUSE OF THE PRESIDENT'S SCHEDULE. AND I THINK THE SECRETARY WENT IN AROUND 10:30 - 10:45 TO HAVE HIS REGULAR MEETING WITH THE PRESIDENT. SO, I THINK, FOR LOGISTICAL PURPOSES THE MEETING WITH AMBASSADOR DINITZ WAS OVER THERE.

Q. DOES THE SECRETARY SHARE THE PRESIDENT'S VIEWS, AS HE STATED THIS MORNING, THAT THE INTERIM, QUOTE "MIGHT NOT WORK" UNQUOTE, AND THAT SOME VERY SERIOUS ISSUES STILL REMAIN TO BE RESOLVED?

A. I CAN'T ANSWER YOU SPECIFICALLY BECAUSE I WAS UNAWARE OF THIS VIEW STATED BY THE PRESIDENT.

THERE ARE SOME PROBLEMS THAT REMAIN, AS UNDER SECRETARY SISCO INDICATED ON TELEVISION THIS MORNING. THERE'S A TEXT OF THIS INTERVIEW FOR YOU, FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO HAVEN'T SEEN IT. BUT WE CONTINUE TO FEEL THAT PROGRESS IS BEING MADE, AND WE REMAIN HOPEFUL THAT THERE WILL BE AN AGREEMENT.

Q. HAS HE GOT THE EGYPTIAN REPLY TO THE NEW PROPOSALS THAT AMBASSADOR EILTS BROUGHT WITH HIM OVER THE WEEKEND TO CAIRO?
UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 STATE 173719

A. HE OBVIOUSLY HAS EGYPTIAN VIEWS THAT HE WILL BE PASSING ON TO AMBASSADOR DINITZ. I DON'T KNOW THE DETAILS OF WHAT IT IS.

Q. HAS A COMPROMISE BEEN WORKED OUT WITH SENATOR CASE FOR DELIVERY OF SIX MISSILE BATTERIES WITH APPROXIMATELY --

WELL, 150 MILLION -- IN THE NEXT YEAR AND A HALF?

A. ON THAT WE'RE IN TOUCH WITH THE JORDANIAN GOVERNMENT. WE'RE IN TOUCH WITH THE CONGRESS -- PRIMARILY -- AS I MENTIONED YESTERDAY, WITH THE SENATE FOREIGN RELATIONS COMMITTEE -- ON THIS SUBJECT. WE'RE DISCUSSING THE JORDANIAN REACTION, WHICH WE HAVE ALL READ ABOUT, WITH THE CONGRESS. WE'RE CONSULTING WITH THEM TODAY. AND, BEYOND THAT, I JUST HAVE TO LEAVE IT WHERE WE WERE YESTERDAY, BECAUSE WE'RE STILL CONSULTING, THAT IS, THE ADMINISTRATION IS TRYING TO FIND A SATISFACTORY SOLUTION TO THIS QUESTION SO THAT WE CAN CARRY OUT OUR COMMITMENT TO JORDAN AND ALSO MEET CONGRESSIONAL CONCERNS. BUT CONSULTATION IS STILL GOING ON RIGHT NOW.

Q. WHO IS HANDLING NEGOTIATIONS FOR THE STATE DEPARTMENT

-- ROY ATHERTON, MR. SISCO -- WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL LEADERS -- ON THIS HAWK DEAL?

A. ROY ATHERTON, AS WE ALL KNOW, HAS BEEN DOING A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF TESTIFYING ON THIS SIBJECT AND HAVING INFORMAL CONSULTATIONS WITH THE SENATE FOREIGN RELATIONS COMMITTEE MEMBERS -- THOSE INTERESTED ONES -- AND WITH MEMBERS OF THE STAFF. AMBASSADOR PICKERING IS ALSO STILL HERE. I DO NOT KNOW SPECIFICALLY WHETHER TOM IS UP THERE TODAY WITH ATHERTON OR NOT, BUT YOU CAN BE SURE THAT UNDER SECRETARY SISCO IS INVOLVED IN THIS ALSO. BUT I CAN'T GIVE YOU THE EXACT TEMPERATURE AND DETAILS.

Q. HAS THE SECRETARY OF STATE GOTTEN INVOLVED IN DISCUSSING THIS ISSUE WITH CONGRESSMEN?

A. WELL, THE SECRETARY HAS NOT TESTIFIED BEFORE ANY COM-UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 05 STATE 173719

MITTEES ON THIS SUBJECT RECENTLY, AS YOU KNOW; BUT WHETHER HE HAS BEEN IN TOUCH INFORMALLY ON THIS SUBJECT DURING SOME OF THE MEETINGS, WHEN HE'S HAD CONGRESSMENT AND SENATORS COME DOWN HERE, I DO NOT KNOW. BUT, OBVIOUSLY, HE IS VERY MUCH CONCERNED BY THIS PROBLEM AND, SHALL WE SAY, IS AN ACTIVE PARTICIPANT IN TRYING TO SEE IT RESOLVED.

Q. HAVE YOU FOLLOWED UP THE ARMS DEAL WITH THE GENTLEMEN IN BEIRUT TO FIND OUT, OR ATTEMPT TO FIND OUT, WHERE THE WEAPONS WENT, TO WHOM THEY WERE SOLD?

A. LET ME JUST TELL YOU. I EXERTED, ON ALL OF YOUR BEHALF, ABOUT AS MUCH EFFORT AS I EVER HAVE IN TRYING TO GET THE ANSWERS TO YOUR VERY MANY LEGITIMATE QUESTIONS. AND FOR ONCE WE WERE ABLE TO GET THEM TO YOU I THINK

QUITE PROMPTLY.

I JUST HAVE NOTHING FURTHER TO SAY ON IT. I SAID YESTER-DAY THAT WE DID NOT KNOW THE ULTIMATE END USE OF THIS, AND I JUST STAND ON THE ANSWER TO THE QUESTION THAT WE GAVE TO YOU AND POSTED YESTERDAY. I JUST HAVE NOTHING MORE ON IT.

Q. MR. SISCO SAID THE UNITED STATES WOULD BE WILLING TO CONSIDER THE PLACEMENT OF MILITARY TECHNICIANS IN THE SINAI TO MONITOR THE PASSES. SINCE AMBASSADOR DINITZ HAS EXPRESSED NO INTEREST IN HAVING AMERICAN MILITARY PERSONNEL THERE, WTY IS THIS COUNTRY BEING MORE POSITIVE, EVEN IF IT IS ONLY A SLIGHT AMOUNT, ABOUT AMERICAN MILITARY PRESENCE IN THE MIDDLE EAST, THAN THE ISARELIS ARE?

A. AGAIN, I BELIEVE JOE MADE THE POINT THAT FIRST OF ALL,

THERE HAS BEEN NO FORMAL PROPOSAL FROM EITHER SIDE ON THIS QUESTION.

AND THEN HE SAID, IF I RECALL HIS TEXT CORRECTLY, THAT IF SUCH A FORMAL PROPOSAL CAME ABOUT AND IF IT TURNED OUT THAT WE COULD MAKE A CONTRIBUTION HERE, IT MIGHT BE EITHER CIVILIAN OR MILITARY TECHNICIANS. I BELIEVE THAT HE MENTIONED BOTH THERE. UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 06 STATE 173719

I THINK THE THING TO REMEMBER HERE, IS THAT WE HAVEN'T HAD ANY PROPOSALS.

WE WILL EXAMINE IT WHEN WE DO GET IT, AND WHETHER IT WOULD TURN OUT TO BE TECHNICIANS WHO WOULD BE MILITARY OR CIVILIAN, WE WILL JUST HAVE TO WAIT AND SEE.

THE ONLY OTHER POINT I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE IS THAT IF SUCH A PROPOSAL IS MADE, AND IF WE FEEL THAT IT WOULD BE IN THE NATIONAL INTEREST TO PARTICIPATE IN SUCH A PROPOSAL, WE WILL BE IN VERY CLOSE TOUCH WITH THE CONGRESS BEFORE ANYTHING IS DONE ON THIS.

Q. WELL, THE POINT I AM TRYING TO MAKE IS THAT WITH REPEATED ISRAELI DISAVOWAL OF ANY DESIRE TO HAVE AN AMERICAN MILITARY PRESENCE THERE, WHY DO WE KEEP IT ALIVE? ARE THE EGYPTIANS ASKING US TO?

A. ON BACKGROUND HERE. IT IS NOT UP TO ME TO INTERPRET WHAT AMBASSADOR DINITZ SAYS, OR ANY OTHER ISRAELI OFFICIAL SAYS. BUT MY OWN INTERPRETATION OF THE COMMENTS TO WHICH YOU ARE REFERRING IS THAT I THINK THAT AMBASSADOR DINITZ IS PROBABLY REFERRING TO AMERICAN COMBAT TROOPS. I THINK THAT PROBABLY IS WHAT IS IN THE

BACK OF HIS MIND. END BACKGROUND.

BUT I REALLY AND TRULY DO NOT THINK I SHOULD BE COMMENTING, CERTAINLY ON THE RECORD, ON THIS.

Q. IS THERE ANY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN "COMBAT" TROOPS AND "MILITARY?" THE MILITARY IS SUPPOSED TO FIGHT?

A. I DO NOT KNOW WHAT MR. SISCO MAY HAVE MEANT BY "MILITARY TECHNICIANS" WHETHER THEY BE IN UNIFORM OR NOT. I THINK -- AND THIS IS ALL SPECULATION AT THIS POINT. THERE HAVE BEEN NO PROPOSALS MADE.

Q. IS THIS THE SORT OF ISSUE YOU THINK THAT MIGHT HAVE TO WAIT FOR THE KISSINGER SHUTTLE TO BE RESOLVED? UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 07 STATE 173719

A. I HONESTLY CANNOT ANSWER THAT. I JUST DO NOT KNOW.

THE POINT IS, THERE HAS BEEN NO FORMAL PROPOSAL FROM EITHER SIDE ON THIS YET. I JUST DO NOT THINK WE OUGHT TO JUMP THE GUN HERE, FRANKLY.

Q. DO YOU KNOW WHO FIRST RAISED THE IDEA OF THE CONCEPT OF INTRODUCING AMERICAN TECHNICIANS TO MAINTAIN --

A. NO, I DO NOT KNOW THAT. KISSINGER

UNCLASSIFIED

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 26 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Concepts: PRESS CONFERENCES

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 23 JUL 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE

Document Number: 1975STATE173719
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: NEA/P:MHVAN ORDER

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a Film Number: D750256-1218 From: STATE Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197507106/baaaaqbo.tel Line Count: 285

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM

Office: ORIGIN NEA

Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a

Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 6

Previous Channel Indicators: Previous Classification: n/a Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: CollinP0

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 03 MAR 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <03 MAR 2003 by ThomasVJ>; APPROVED <18 MAR 2004 by CollinP0>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: n/a TAGS: PFOR, SOPN, US

To: AMMAN **BEIRUT** CAIRO DAMASCUS **GENEVA KUWAIT** 

JIDDA
DHAHRAN
JERUSALEM
KHARTOUM
MANAMA
TUNIS
TEL AVIV
TEHRAN
TRIPOLI
RABAT
LONDON
PARIS
MOSCOW
ROME
USUN N Y
NATO
SANA
BAGHDAD
DOHA
ABU DHABI
ALGIERS
USCINCEUR

Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006